INTEREST RATES AND FX MODELS

2. Girsanov, Numeraires, and All That

Andrew Lesniewski Courant Institute of Mathematics New York University New York

February 10, 2011

Contents

1	Arbi	trage asset pricing in a nutshell	2
	1.1	Self-financing portfolios	2
	1.2	The fundamental theorem	4
2	Exai	nples of numeraires	5
	2.1	Spot numeraire	5
	2.2	Forward numeraire	6
	2.3	Annuity numeraire	6
3	Change of numeraire technique		6
A	Girs	anov's theorem	8

1 Arbitrage asset pricing in a nutshell

This is a technical intermezzo in preparation for next themes: valuation of options on interest rates, CMS based instruments, and term structure modeling. We start by reviewing briefly some basic concepts of arbitrage pricing theory, just enough to cover our upcoming needs. For a full account of this theory, I encourage you to take the course in continuous time finance offered in this program. In particular, I will be skipping over a lot of technicalities while discussing the probabilistic concepts underlying this framework, and, again, I recommend further study for a more in depth understanding of these concepts. Next, we will discuss the technique of *change of numeraire*, which will play a key role in the following lectures.

1.1 Self-financing portfolios

We consider a financial market which consists of a number of frictionlessly (i.e. liquidly and without transaction costs) tradeable assets I_0, I_1, \ldots, I_N . We model the price processes of these assets by $S_0(t), S_1(t), \ldots, S_N(t)$, i.e. $S_i(t)$ denotes the price of asset I_i at time t. We emphasize that these processes represent market observable asset prices, and not merely some state variables.

Each price process is a *diffusion process*, i.e. there is an underlying multidimensional Wiener process $W_1(t), W_1(t), \ldots, W_d(t), d \le N + 1$, and the price

2

process follows a stochastic differential equation (SDE) of the form:

$$dS_{j}(t) = \Delta_{j}(S(t), t) dt + \sum_{k=1}^{d} C_{jk}(S(t), t) dW_{k}(t).$$
(1)

The coefficient $\Delta_j(S(t), t)$ is called the *drift coefficients*, while the coefficients $C_{jk}(S(t), t)$ are referred to as the *diffusion coefficients*.

For example, in the classic Black-Scholes model, $S_0(t) = B(t)$ is the riskless bond, and $S_1(t) = S(t)$ is a (risky) stock, with the dynamics given by

$$dB(t) = rB(t) dt,$$

$$dS(t) = \mu S(t) dt + \sigma S(t) dW(t).$$
(2)

A *portfolio* is specified by the weights $w_0(t)$, $w_1(t)$, ..., $w_N(t)$, of the assets at time t. We assume, of course, that the weights are non-negative, and they add up to one. The value process of the portfolio is given by

$$V(t) = \sum_{0 \le i \le N} w_i(t) S_i(t).$$
(3)

A portfolio is *self-financing*, if

$$dV(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} w_i(t) \, dS_i(t) \,, \tag{4}$$

or, equivalently,

$$V(t) = V(0) + \int_0^t \sum_{i=0}^N w_i(s) \, dS_i(s) \,.$$
(5)

In other words, the price process of a self-financing portfolio does not allow for infusion or withdrawal of capital.

A fundamental assumption of arbitrage pricing theory is that financial markets (or at least, their models) are free of arbitrage opportunities¹. An *arbitrage opportunity* arises if one can construct a self-financing portfolio such that:

(a) The initial value of the portfolio is zero, V(0) = 0.

¹This assumption is, mercifully, violated frequently enough so that the entire hedge fund industry can sustain itself exploiting the market's lack of respect for arbitrage freeness.

- (b) With probability one, the portfolio has a non-negative value at maturity, $P(V(T) \ge 0) = 1$.
- (c) With a positive probability, the value of the portfolio at maturity is positive, P(V(T) > 0) > 0.

We say the model is *arbitrage free* if it does not allow arbitrage opportunities. Requiring arbitrage freeness has important consequences for price dynamics.

1.2 The fundamental theorem

A key concept in modern asset pricing theory is that of a *numeraire*. A numeraire is any tradeable asset with price process $\mathcal{N}(t)$ such that $\mathcal{N}(t) > 0$, for all times t. The *relative price* process of asset I_i is defined by

$$S_{i}^{\mathcal{N}}\left(t\right) = \frac{S_{i}\left(t\right)}{\mathcal{N}\left(t\right)} \,. \tag{6}$$

In other words, the relative price of an asset is its price expressed in the units of the numeraire.

A probability measure Q is called an *equivalent martingale measure* for the above market, with numeraire $\mathcal{N}(t)$, if it has the following properties:

(a) Q is equivalent to P, i.e.

$$d\mathsf{P}\left(\omega\right) = D_{\mathsf{PQ}}\left(\omega\right) d\mathsf{Q}\left(\omega\right),$$

and

$$d\mathsf{P}\left(\omega\right) = D_{\mathsf{Q}\mathsf{P}}\left(\omega\right)d\mathsf{Q}\left(\omega\right),$$

with some $D_{PQ}(\omega) > 0$ and $D_{QP}(\omega) > 0$.

(b) The relative price processes $S_{i}^{\mathcal{N}}\left(t\right)$ are martingales under Q,

$$S_{i}^{\mathcal{N}}(s) = \mathsf{E}^{\mathsf{Q}}\left[S_{i}^{\mathcal{N}}(t) \left|\mathscr{F}_{s}\right].$$
(7)

The Fundamental Theorem of arbitrage free pricing states that *the model is arbitrage free if and only if there exists an equivalent martingale measure* Q.

In other words, in an arbitrage free market, we can express the prices of all assets in the units of a single asset (the numeraire) so that the prices are martingales. An important consequence of this theorem is the arbitrage pricing law:

$$\frac{V(s)}{\mathcal{N}(s)} = \mathsf{E}^{\mathsf{Q}}\left[\frac{V(T)}{\mathcal{N}(T)} \middle| \mathscr{F}_s\right].$$
(8)

One is free to change numeraire $\mathcal{N}(t) \to \mathcal{N}'(t)$. Girsanov's theorem (see the Appendix for a summary) implies that there exists a martingale measure Q' such that

$$\frac{V(s)}{\mathcal{N}'(s)} = \mathsf{E}^{\mathsf{Q}'}\left[\frac{V(T)}{\mathcal{N}'(T)} \middle| \mathscr{F}_s\right],\tag{9}$$

and thus the Radon-Nikodym derivative is given by the ratio of the numeraires:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{Q}'}{d\mathbf{Q}}\Big|_{s} = \frac{\frac{\mathcal{N}(s)}{\mathcal{N}(T)}}{\frac{\mathcal{N}'(s)}{\mathcal{N}'(T)}} = \frac{\mathcal{N}(s)}{\mathcal{N}(T)} \frac{\mathcal{N}'(T)}{\mathcal{N}'(s)} .$$
(10)

We will have more to say about this important fact. In the meantime, let us review some of the most important numeraires encountered in interest rates modeling.

2 Examples of numeraires

We shall now revisit the numeraires that we have encountered in Lecture 2 in the context of valuation of vanilla interest rate options.

2.1 Spot numeraire

The *spot numeraire* (or *rolling numeraire*) is simply a \$1 deposited in a bank and accruing the (riskless) instantaneous rate. Its value at time t is

$$\mathcal{N}(t) = \exp\left(\int_0^t f(s) \, ds\right). \tag{11}$$

The special case of a constant riskless rate f(t) = r plays a key role in the Black-Scholes model, and the rolling numeraire is the riskless bond B(t) mentioned before.

2.2 Forward numeraire

The *T*-forward numeraire is simply the zero coupon bond for maturity *T*. Its price at t < T is given by

$$\mathcal{N}_T(t) = P(t, T). \tag{12}$$

As explained in Lecture 2, the T-forward numeraire arises naturally in pricing instruments based of forwards maturing at T. Forward rates for maturity at T are martingales under the measure associated with this numeraire.

2.3 Annuity numeraire

The *annuity numeraire* is associated with a (forward starting) swap. The annuity pays \$1 on each coupon day of the swap, accrued according to the swap's day count day conventions. Its PV for the value day t is given by the forward level function:

$$\mathcal{N}_{T_{\text{start}},T_{\text{mat}}}\left(t\right) = L\left(t, T_{\text{start}}, T_{\text{mat}}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} P\left(t, T_{j}\right), \tag{13}$$

where the summation runs over the coupon dates of the annuity.

The annuity numeraire arises as the natural numeraire when valuing swaptions. As explained in Lecture 2, the swap rate $S(T_{\text{start}}, T_{\text{mat}})$ is a martingale under the measure associated with the annuity numeraire.

3 Change of numeraire technique

Choice of a numeraire is a matter of convenience and is dictated by the valuation problem at hand. Asset valuation leads frequently to complicated stochastic processes, and one way of making the problem easier to eliminate the drift term from the stochastic differential equation defining the process. The change of numeraire technique allows us to achieve precisely this: modify the probability law (the measure) of the process so that, under this new measure, the process is driftless, i.e. it is a martingale.

Consider a financial asset whose dynamics is given in terms of the state variable X(t). Under the measure P this dynamics reads:

$$dX(t) = \Delta^{\mathsf{P}}(t) dt + C(t) dW^{\mathsf{P}}(t).$$
(14)

Our goal is to relate this dynamics to the dynamics of the same asset under an equivalent measure Q:

$$dX(t) = \Delta^{\mathsf{Q}}(t) dt + C(t) dW^{\mathsf{Q}}(t).$$
(15)

Remember that the diffusion coefficients in these equations are the unaffected by the change of measure! We assume that P is associated with the numeraire $\mathcal{N}(t)$ whose dynamics is given by:

$$d\mathcal{N}(t) = A_{\mathcal{N}}(t) dt + B_{\mathcal{N}}(t) dW^{\mathsf{P}}(t), \qquad (16)$$

while Q is associated with the numeraire $\mathcal{M}(t)$ whose dynamics is given by:

$$d\mathcal{M}(t) = A_{\mathcal{M}}(t) dt + B_{\mathcal{M}}(t) dW^{\mathsf{P}}(t).$$
(17)

According to Girsanov's theorem, the Radon-Nikodym derivative

$$D\left(t\right) = \frac{d\mathbf{Q}}{d\mathbf{P}}\Big|_{t} \tag{18}$$

is a martingale under P, and solves the stochastic differential equation:

$$dD(t) = \theta(t) D(t) dW^{\mathsf{P}}(t), \qquad (19)$$

with

$$\theta\left(t\right) = \frac{\Delta^{\mathsf{Q}}\left(t\right) - \Delta^{\mathsf{P}}\left(t\right)}{C\left(t\right)} \,. \tag{20}$$

Explicitly, D(t) is given by

$$D(t) = \exp\left(\int_0^t \theta(s) \, dW^\mathsf{P}(s) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \theta(s)^2 \, ds\right). \tag{21}$$

On the other hand, from the fundamental theorem of asset pricing we infer that

$$D(t) = \frac{\mathcal{N}(0)}{\mathcal{M}(0)} \frac{\mathcal{M}(t)}{\mathcal{N}(t)} .$$
(22)

Since D(t) is a martingale under P, we conclude that the process $\mathcal{M}(t) / \mathcal{N}(t)$ is driftless under P. As a consequence,

$$d\left(\frac{\mathcal{M}(t)}{\mathcal{N}(t)}\right) = \frac{\mathcal{M}(t)}{\mathcal{N}(t)} \left(\frac{B_{\mathcal{M}}(t)}{\mathcal{M}(t)} - \frac{B_{\mathcal{N}}(t)}{\mathcal{N}(t)}\right) dW^{\mathsf{P}}(t).$$

Comparing this with (18) we infer that

$$\theta(t) \ \frac{\mathcal{M}(t)}{\mathcal{N}(t)} = \frac{\mathcal{M}(t)}{\mathcal{N}(t)} \left(\frac{B_{\mathcal{M}}(t)}{\mathcal{M}(t)} - \frac{B_{\mathcal{N}}(t)}{\mathcal{N}(t)}\right).$$
(23)

This leads to the following drift transformation law:

$$\Delta^{\mathsf{Q}}(t) - \Delta^{\mathsf{P}}(t) = C(t) \left(\frac{B_{\mathcal{M}}(t)}{\mathcal{M}(t)} - \frac{B_{\mathcal{N}}(t)}{\mathcal{N}(t)} \right)$$
$$= dX(t) d \left(\log \frac{\mathcal{M}(t)}{\mathcal{N}(t)} \right).$$
(24)

The formula above expresses the change in the drift in the dynamics of the state variable, which accompanies a change of numeraire, in terms of the processes themselves.

In order to rewrite (24) in a more intrinsic form, let us establish a bit of notation. For two stochastic processes X(t) and Y(t) we define the following bracket operation, we let :

$$\{X, Y\}(t) = dX(t) d(\log Y(t)).$$
(25)

Thus the change of numeraire formula can be stated in the elegant, easy to remember form:

$$\Delta^{\mathsf{Q}}(t) = \Delta^{\mathsf{P}}(t) + \left\{ X, \frac{\mathcal{M}}{\mathcal{N}} \right\}(t).$$
(26)

A Girsanov's theorem

In this appendix we briefly review, leaving out most of the technicalities, Girsanov's theorem. For a complete discussion, we refer to any text on stochastic calculus, e.g. [3].

We consider a Brownian motion W(t), and the associated probability space $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathsf{P})$, where Ω is the sample space, $\mathscr{F} = (\mathscr{F}_t)_{t \ge 0}$, is the filtered information set, and P is the probability measure. By E (or E^P , when we want to be precise) we denote the expected value with respect to the measure P .

We say that a measure Q on Ω is *absolutely continuous* with respect to P if there exists a positive function D (called the *Radon-Nikodym derivative*) such that

$$Q(A) = \int_{A} D(\omega) dP(\omega), \qquad (27)$$

for $A \subset \Omega$, or

$$\frac{d\mathbf{Q}}{d\mathbf{P}}\left(\omega\right) = D\left(\omega\right). \tag{28}$$

In other words, the "volume element" dQ is always proportional to the "volume element" dP, with the proportionality factor being a positive function throughout the probability space. In the context of a Brownian motion, we also require that the Radon-Nikodym derivative respect the filtration by time, i.e. the identity above holds if we condition on the information up to time t:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{Q}}{d\mathbf{P}}\left(\omega\right)\Big|_{t} = D\left(\omega, t\right).$$
(29)

Two probability measures Q and P are called *equivalent*, if Q is absolutely continuous with respect to P and P is absolutely continuous with respect to Q.

Consider now a diffusion process:

$$dX(t) = \Delta (X(t), t) dt + C (X(t), t) dW(t).$$
(30)

A natural question arises: can we transform a diffusion process into a diffusion process with a different drift,

$$dX(t) = \widetilde{\Delta}(X(t), t) dt + C(X(t), t) d\widetilde{W}(t).$$
(31)

by a change to an equivalent probability measure Q? In particular, can we make the new process a martingale? Recall that if the process X(t) is a *martingale*, the diffusion above is driftless, i.e. $\widetilde{\Delta}(X(t), t) = 0$. Recall that a process X(t) is a martingale if $\mathsf{E}^{\mathsf{Q}}[|X(t)|] < \infty$, for all t, and

$$X(s) = \mathsf{E}^{\mathsf{Q}}\left[X(t) \,|\,\mathscr{F}_s\right],\tag{32}$$

where $E^{Q}[\cdot |\mathscr{F}_{s}]$ denotes the conditional expected value. In other words, given all information up to time *s*, the expected value of future values of a martingale is X(s). An affirmative answer to this question is provided by Girsanov's theorem.

One might heuristically proceed like this. Write

$$dX(t) = \widetilde{\Delta}(t) dt + C(t) \left(\frac{\Delta(t) - \widetilde{\Delta}(t)}{C(t)} dt + dW(t) \right)$$

= $\widetilde{\Delta}(t) dt + C(t) d\widetilde{W}(t),$ (33)

where

$$\widetilde{W}(t) = W(t) + \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\Delta(s) - \widetilde{\Delta}(s)}{C(s)} ds$$
$$\equiv W(t) - \int_{0}^{t} \theta(s) ds.$$
(34)

This looks like a new Brownian motion! Girsanov's theorem asserts that, under some technical assumptions on the drift and diffusion coefficients, $\widetilde{W}(t)$ is indeed a Brownian motion provided that the probability measure is modified appropriately.

More precisely, define the stochastic process:

$$D(t) = \exp\left(\int_0^t \theta(s) \ dW(s) - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \theta(s)^2 \ ds\right). \tag{35}$$

Note that we have changed our notation: as always when dealing with stochastic processes, we have suppressed the argument ω in D, and made the dependence on t explicit. We now define the equivalent measure Q with

$$\left. \frac{d\mathbf{Q}}{d\mathbf{P}} \right|_t = D\left(t\right). \tag{36}$$

Assume that the following technical condition (Novikov's condition) holds:

$$\mathsf{E}^{\mathsf{P}}\left[\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{t}\theta\left(s\right)^{2}ds\right)\right] < \infty.$$
(37)

Then

(a) The process D(t) is a martingale under P. Furthermore, it satisfies the following stochastic differential equation:

$$dD(t) = \theta(t) D(t) dW(t).$$
(38)

(a) $\widetilde{W}(t)$ is a Wiener process under Q.

We have stated Girsanov's theorem for a one-dimensional Brownian motion. This assumption is not essential and, using a bit of linear algebra, one can easily formulate a version of Girsanov's theorem for an arbitrary multidimensional Brownian motion.

References

- [1] Andersen, L., and Piterbarg, V.: *Interest Rate Modeling*, Vol. 1, Atlantic Financial Press (2010).
- [2] Brigo, D., and Mercurio, F.: *Interest Rate Models Theory and Practice*, Springer Verlag (2006).
- [3] Oksendal, B.: Stochastic Differential Equations: An Introduction with Applications, Springer Verlag (2005).