

## Quantum Riemann Surfaces: II. The Discrete Series<sup>★</sup>

SLAWOMIR KLIMEK

*Department of Mathematics, IUPUI, Indianapolis, IN 46205, U.S.A.*

and

ANDRZEJ LESNIEWSKI

*Department of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, U.S.A.*

(Received: 6 January 1992)

**Abstract.** We continue our study of noncommutative deformations of two-dimensional hyperbolic manifolds which we initiated in Part I. We construct a sequence of  $C^*$ -algebras which are quantizations of a compact Riemann surface of genus  $g$  corresponding to special values of the Planck constant. These algebras are direct integrals of finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebras.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (1991).** 81C05.

### 1. Introduction

In this paper we continue our study of quantum deformations of Riemann surfaces [4, 5]. Quantum Riemann surfaces can be thought of as nontrivial, noncommutative manifolds of lowest dimension. In [4], we constructed a one-parameter quantum deformation of the universal covering  $U$  of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces. To be more specific, we constructed a family  $C_\mu(\bar{U})$  of  $C^*$ -algebras, where  $0 < \mu < 1$  is a deformation parameter. These  $C^*$ -algebras can be thought of as the  $C^*$ -algebras of ‘continuous functions having limits at infinity’ on the quantum unit disc. We have shown that  $C_\mu(\bar{U})$  is isomorphic with the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{T}^*(\bar{U})$  generated by a class of Toeplitz operators. It can be shown that these Toeplitz operators arise naturally in the process of geometric quantization of  $U$  [2, 9, 10].

Recall that a quantum deformation (see [4] for relevant references) of a manifold  $M$  is a fibration  $(\mathcal{A}, \pi, S)$  over a parameter space  $S$  with a fixed base point  $O$ . Each fiber  $\mathcal{A}_s = \pi^{-1}(s)$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra with the property that  $\mathcal{A}_O = C(M)$ , a  $C^*$ -algebra of continuous functions on  $M$ . A deformation map  $D$  is a connection in  $(\mathcal{A}, \pi, S)$ . If  $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow S$  is a curve in  $S$  starting at  $O$ , then by  $D_{\gamma(t)}(f)$  we denote its lift to  $\mathcal{A}$  starting at  $f \in C(M)$ . The crucial requirement is that for  $f, g$

<sup>★</sup> Supported by DOE under Grant DE-FG02-88ER25065.

smooth the limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (D_{\gamma(t)}(f)D_{\gamma(t)}(g) - D_{\gamma(t)}(fg)) \quad (1.1)$$

exists. As a consequence,

$$\{f, g\}_\gamma := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} [D_{\gamma(t)}(f), D_{\gamma(t)}(g)] \quad (1.2)$$

is a Poisson bracket on  $M$ . One often refers to  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_\gamma$  as a direction of deformation. In the situation of [4],  $S = [0, 1)$ ,  $O = 0$  and the deformation map is given by the Toeplitz operator  $D_t(f) = T^r(f)$ , where  $r = 1 + t^{-1}$ .

Let now  $S = U/\Gamma$  be a compact Riemann surface arising as the quotient of  $U$  by a Fuchsian group  $\Gamma$ . Consider the family of  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -algebras  $\{\mathcal{F}_r(U)\}$  of Toeplitz operators with symbols invariant under  $\Gamma$ . This family is a quantum deformation of the algebra of continuous functions on  $S$ . In this Letter, we study the structure of  $\mathcal{F}_r(U)$  for the discrete sequence of values of the deformation parameter,  $r = (2g - 2)^{-1}n$ , where  $g$  is the genus of  $S$ , and where  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . The algebra  $\mathcal{F}_r(U)$  is a direct integral of finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -algebras. These finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -algebras are the full matrix algebras on a family of Hilbert spaces of automorphic forms. This property is a unique feature of the discrete series of values of the deformation parameter. In fact, the values  $r = (2g - 2)^{-1}n$  are precisely the values of deformation parameter obtained for geometric quantization.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we summarize our results and fix notation. In Section 3 we review the technique of geometric quantization as applied to compact Riemann surfaces. Sections 4 and 5 contain the proofs of our main results, Theorems A and B of Section 2. The proofs involve rather detailed estimates on the  $r$ -dependence of automorphic forms of weight  $r$ .

## 2. Main Results

Let  $S$  be a compact Riemann surface of genus  $g \geq 2$  and let  $\Gamma_0$  be a Fuchsian group uniformizing it. In other words,  $\Gamma_0$  is a discrete subgroup of  $\text{PSU}(1, 1)$  acting fix point free on the unit disc  $U$ , and  $S \cong U/\Gamma_0$ . By  $\Gamma \subset \text{SU}(1, 1)$ , we denote a subgroup of  $\text{SU}(1, 1)$  which covers  $\Gamma_0$ . Let  $\pi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_0$  denote the covering homomorphism. For

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$$

we denote

$$\gamma(\zeta) = \frac{a\zeta + b}{b\zeta + a}, \quad \zeta \in U. \quad (2.1)$$

Then the derivative of (2.1) is  $\gamma'(\zeta) = (\bar{b}\zeta + \bar{a})^{-2}$ . We set

$$\gamma'(\zeta)^{1/2} := (\bar{b}\zeta + \bar{a})^{-1}. \tag{2.2}$$

Let  $r > 0$ . Recall (see, e.g. [6]) that  $v: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a multiplier for the group  $\Gamma$  and the weight  $r$ , if

$$|v(\gamma)| = 1, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\gamma'_1(\gamma_2(\zeta))^{-r/2} \gamma'_2(\zeta)^{-r/2} v(\gamma_1)v(\gamma_2) = (\gamma_1\gamma_2)'(\zeta)^{-r/2} v(\gamma_1\gamma_2). \tag{2.4}$$

Here  $\gamma'(\zeta)^{-r/2}$  is defined as  $\exp\{-r \log \gamma'(\zeta)^{1/2}\}$  and  $\log \alpha := \log |\alpha| + i \arg \alpha$  where  $-\pi < \arg \alpha \leq \pi$ . It is well known [7] that a multiplier exists if and only if  $r = n(2g - 2)^{-1}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . If  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are multipliers then, as a consequence of (2.4), their ratio is a character of  $\Gamma$ . As a consequence, it is natural to identify the set  $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma, r)$  of multipliers for  $\Gamma$  and  $r$  with the set  $\hat{\Gamma}$  of characters of  $\Gamma$ . Since  $\Gamma$  has the presentation  $A_1 B_1 A_1^{-1} B_1^{-1} \cdots A_g B_g A_g^{-1} B_g^{-1} = \pm I$ ,  $\hat{\Gamma}$  is a  $2g$ -dimensional torus which we normalize so that it can be identified with  $\text{Jac}(S)$ , the Jacobian of  $S$ . In other words, as sets,  $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma, r) \cong \text{Jac}(S)$ .

Recall that a holomorphic function  $\phi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called an automorphic form of weight  $r > 0$  and multiplier  $v$  if

$$\phi(\gamma(\zeta)) = v(\gamma)\gamma'(\zeta)^{-r/2}\phi(\zeta), \tag{2.5}$$

for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}^r(\Gamma, v)$  denote the complex vector space of automorphic forms of weight  $r$  and multiplier  $v$ . There is a natural definition of an inner product on  $\mathcal{H}^r(\Gamma, v)$  due to Petersson [8]. For  $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{H}^r(\Gamma, v)$  we set

$$(\phi, \psi) := \int_D \overline{\phi(\zeta)}\psi(\zeta) d\mu^r(\zeta), \tag{2.6}$$

where  $D$  is a fundamental domain of  $\Gamma$ , and where

$$d\mu^r(\zeta) := \frac{r-1}{\pi} (1 - |\zeta|^2)^{r-2} d^2\zeta. \tag{2.7}$$

As a consequence of (2.5),  $(\phi, \psi)$  is independent of the choice of  $D$ . For the future convenience we will assume that  $0 \in D$ . The space  $\mathcal{H}^r(\Gamma, v)$  thus becomes a (finite-dimensional) Hilbert space.

For  $\phi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic and bounded we set

$$\Theta_r^v \phi(\zeta) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} v(\gamma)^{-1} \gamma'(\zeta)^{r/2} \phi(\gamma(\zeta)). \tag{2.8}$$

Classical theorems going back to Poincaré (see, e.g., [6]) state that the series (2.8) converges almost uniformly in  $U$  and that  $\Theta_r^v \phi$  is an automorphic form (whenever there is no danger of confusion, we will write  $\Theta \phi$  rather than  $\Theta_r^v \phi$ ). The series (2.8) is called the Poincaré theta series of  $\phi$ .

Of particular importance will be the following theta series:

$$K_{\Gamma,v}^r(\zeta, \eta) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} v(\gamma)^{-1} \gamma'(\zeta)^{r/2} K^r(\gamma(\zeta), \eta), \quad \eta \in U, \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$K^r(\zeta, \eta) := (1 - \zeta\bar{\eta})^{-r}. \quad (2.10)$$

Recall that  $K^r(\zeta, \eta)$  is the reproducing kernel for the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}^r$  of functions holomorphic in  $U$  and square integrable with respect to  $d\mu^r$ . This implies that  $K_{\Gamma,v}^r(\zeta, \eta)$  is the reproducing kernel for  $\mathcal{H}^r(\Gamma, v)$ . Furthermore,

$$K_{\Gamma,v}^r(\zeta, \eta)^* = K_{\Gamma,v}^r(\eta, \bar{\zeta}). \quad (2.11)$$

Let  $L_{\Gamma,v}^2(D, d\mu^r)$  denote the Hilbert space of functions on  $U$  satisfying (2.5) and square integrable on  $D$  with respect to  $d\mu^r$ . Then,  $K_{\Gamma,v}^r(\zeta, \eta)$  is the integral kernel of the orthogonal projection  $P: L_{\Gamma,v}^2(D, d\mu^r) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^r(\Gamma, v)$ ,

$$(P\phi)(\zeta) = \int_D K_{\Gamma,v}^r(\zeta, \eta) \phi(\eta) d\mu^r(\eta). \quad (2.12)$$

Let  $C_\Gamma(U)$  denote the Banach space of bounded continuous functions on  $U$  which are invariant under  $\Gamma$ . For  $f \in C_\Gamma(U)$  we define  $T_{\Gamma,v}^r(f) := PM(f)$ , where  $M(f)$  denotes multiplication by  $f$ , or explicitly

$$(T_{\Gamma,v}^r(f)\phi)(\zeta) = \int_D K_{\Gamma,v}^r(\zeta, \eta) f(\eta) \phi(\eta) d\mu^r(\eta). \quad (2.13)$$

Then  $T_{\Gamma,v}^r(f)$  is a linear operator on  $\mathcal{H}^r(\Gamma, v)$  called the Toeplitz operator with symbol  $f$ . As  $\dim \mathcal{H}^r(\Gamma, v) < \infty$ , the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -algebra generated by all Toeplitz operators is the full operator algebra  $\text{End}(\mathcal{H}^r(\Gamma, v))$ .

In Section 3 we will explain how the spaces  $\mathcal{H}^r(\Gamma, v)$  arise as a result of geometric quantization of  $S$ . Our main concern in this Letter is to study the properties of the mapping  $C(S) \ni f \rightarrow T_{\Gamma,v}^r(f)$  (we have identified a continuous function  $f$  with its lift to a  $\Gamma$ -invariant function on  $U$ ). Our first main result is

**THEOREM A.** *Let  $f \in C_\Gamma(U)$ . Then*

$$\|T_{\Gamma,v}^r(f)\| \leq \|f\|_\infty \leq \|T_{\Gamma,v}^r(f)\| + o(1), \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.14)$$

where  $\|f\|_\infty$  is the sup-norm of  $f$ , and where  $\|T_{\Gamma,v}^r(f)\|$  is the operator norm on  $\mathcal{H}^r(\Gamma, v)$ . In particular,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \|T_{\Gamma,v}^r(f)\| = \|f\|_\infty. \quad (2.15)$$

Let  $C_\Gamma^\infty(U)$  denote the Fréchet space of smooth  $\Gamma$ -invariant functions on  $U$  such that

$$\|f\|_{p,\infty} := \sum_{j+k \leq p} \sup_{\zeta \in D} |\partial^j \bar{\partial}^k f(\zeta)| < \infty \quad (\text{in particular, } \|f\|_\infty = \|f\|_{0,\infty}).$$

Our second main result is

**THEOREM B.** *Let  $f, g \in C^\infty(U)$ . Then, for  $r$  sufficiently large,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|r(T_{\Gamma,v}^r(f)T_{\Gamma,v}^r(g) - T_{\Gamma,v}^r(fg)) + T_{\Gamma,v}^r((1 - |\zeta|^2)^2 \partial f \bar{\partial} g)\| \\ & \leq Cr^{-1/2} \|f\|_{4,\infty} \|g\|_{4,\infty}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

where  $C$  is a constant.

The above theorem states that the algebra (of operators on the finite-dimensional Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}^r(\Gamma, v)$ ) generated by all  $T_{\Gamma,v}^r(f)$  with smooth  $f$  is a deformation of the algebra of smooth functions on  $S$  in the sense explained in the Introduction. The deformed product is given by  $f \cdot_r g = fg - (1/r)(1 - |\zeta|^2)^2 \partial f \bar{\partial} g + \dots$ . Furthermore, the corollary below states that this algebra is a deformation of  $C^\infty(S)$  regarded as the Poisson algebra with the Poisson structure induced by the Poincaré symplectic form,

$$\{f, g\} := i(1 - |\zeta|^2)^2 [\partial f(\zeta) \bar{\partial} g(\zeta) - \bar{\partial} f(\zeta) \partial g(\zeta)]. \tag{2.16}$$

**COROLLARY TO THEOREM B.** *Let  $f, g \in C^\infty(U)$ . Then*

$$\left\| \frac{r}{i} [T_{\Gamma,v}^r(f), T_{\Gamma,v}^r(g)] - T_{\Gamma,v}^r(\{f, g\}) \right\| \leq Cr^{-1/2} \|f\|_{4,\infty} \|g\|_{4,\infty}, \tag{2.17}$$

for  $r$  sufficiently large.

We will prove Theorems A and B in Sections 4 and 5, respectively.

### 3. Geometric Quantization

In this section, we show that the Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}^r(\Gamma, v)$  introduced in Section 2 arise naturally in the process of geometric quantization (see, e.g., [2, 9, 10]) of  $S$ .

We choose the Poincaré Hermitian metric on the universal covering  $U$  of  $S$ ,  $ds^2 = (1 - |\zeta|^2)^2 d\zeta \otimes d\bar{\zeta}$ . Its curvature,

$$\omega := -2(1 - |\zeta|^2)^2 d\zeta \wedge d\bar{\zeta}, \tag{3.1}$$

coincides with the Poincaré symplectic form on  $U$ . Let  $L \rightarrow S$  be a holomorphic line bundle over  $S$  whose Chern number is  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The space of sections of  $L$  can naturally be identified with the space of smooth functions  $s: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,

$$\gamma^*s(\zeta) = v(\gamma)\gamma'(\zeta)^{\lambda/2}s(\zeta), \tag{3.2}$$

where  $v$  is a multiplier of  $\Gamma$  and where  $\lambda = (2g - 2)^{-1}n$ .

Let  $h \in \mathbb{R}$ . The first step in geometric quantization is to construct a holomorphic line bundle  $L \rightarrow S$  such that  $c_1(L) = (i/2\pi)h^{-1}\omega \in H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ . Since  $\int_D \omega = 2\pi i(2g - 2)$ , it follows that such an  $L$

exists if and only if

$$h = \lambda^{-1} = (2g - 2)/n. \quad (3.3)$$

This is the quantization condition of geometric quantization. Hence, for each  $h$  given by (3.3), we obtain a family  $\{L_{h,v}\}$  of holomorphic line bundles parametrized by the set of multipliers of  $\Gamma$ .

The second step is to construct a complex connection  $\nabla$  in  $L_{h,v}$  such that  $\text{curv}(\nabla) = h^{-1}\omega$ . Writing  $\nabla = d + \alpha(\zeta) d\zeta$  with  $\alpha$  such that

$$\gamma^*\alpha(\zeta) = \gamma'(\zeta)^{-1/2}\alpha(\zeta) + h^{-1}\gamma'(\zeta)^{-1}\gamma''(\zeta),$$

and using  $\text{curv}(\nabla) = -\partial/\partial\bar{\zeta}\alpha(\zeta) d\zeta \wedge d\bar{\zeta}$ , we find that

$$\alpha(\zeta) = h^{-1} \frac{\bar{\zeta}}{1 - |\zeta|^2}. \quad (3.4)$$

Next, we find a  $\nabla$ -invariant hermitian structure  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $L_{h,v}$ . In other words, we require that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  satisfies

$$X\langle s, t \rangle(\zeta) = \langle \nabla_X s, t \rangle(\zeta) + \langle s, \nabla_X t \rangle(\zeta), \quad (3.5)$$

for each real vector field  $X$ . Writing  $\langle s, t \rangle(\zeta) = \chi(\zeta)\overline{s(\zeta)}t(\zeta)$  with  $\chi(\zeta) > 0$  we find that (3.6) is equivalent to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\zeta}}\chi(\zeta) = \alpha(\zeta)\chi(\zeta), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta}\chi(\zeta) = \overline{\alpha(\zeta)}\chi(\zeta).$$

Solving this system of equations yields  $\chi(\zeta) = C(1 - |\zeta|^2)^{1/h}$ , where  $C > 0$ . As a consequence,  $\langle s, t \rangle(\zeta) = C(1 - |\zeta|^2)^{1/h}\overline{s(\zeta)}t(\zeta)$ .

The next step of geometric quantization is to construct the prequantum Hilbert space. This is the completion of  $\Gamma(L_{h,v})$ , the space of sections of  $L_{h,v}$ , in the norm induced by the following inner product:

$$(s, t) := \int_D \langle s, t \rangle(\zeta) d\mu_0(\zeta), \quad (3.6)$$

where  $d\mu_0(\zeta) = 2(1 - |\zeta|^2)^{-2} d^2\zeta$  is the Poincaré measure.

The final step of geometric quantization is to choose a polarization on  $S$ . Clearly,  $S$  has a Kähler polarization, namely the vector field  $\partial/\partial\bar{\zeta}$ . The corresponding subspace of the prequantum Hilbert space consists of holomorphic sections of  $L_{h,v}$  and coincides with  $H^{1/h}(\Gamma, v)$  if  $0 < h < 1$ , and if we choose the constant  $C$  in  $\chi(\zeta)$  to be  $(2\pi)^{-1}(h^{-1} - 1)$ .

#### 4. Proof of Theorem A

The proof of Theorem A is based on two technical lemmas which we now formulate. For  $\eta \in U$  we set

$$\gamma_\eta := (1 - |\eta|^2)^{-1/2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \eta \\ \bar{\eta} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SU}(1, 1). \quad (4.1)$$

The lemmas are concerned with the properties of the series

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |(\gamma_\eta^{-1} \gamma \eta)'(\zeta)|^{r/2}, \quad \zeta \in U,$$

as  $\eta$  varies over a compact subset  $K \subset U$  and  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . They improve slightly on the classical results, see e.g. [3, 6].

**LEMMA 4.1.** *Let  $K \subset U$  be compact. There exist constants  $A > 0$ ,  $C > 1$ ,  $\delta > 0$  and  $r_0 > 2$  such that*

$$\sum_{\Gamma \ni \gamma \neq \pm I} |(\gamma_\eta^{-1} \gamma \eta)'(\zeta)|^{r/2} \leq AC^{-r}, \tag{4.2}$$

for  $\eta \in K$ ,  $|\zeta| \leq \delta$  and  $r \geq r_0$ .

**LEMMA 4.2.** *There exist constants  $B > 0$  and  $r_0 > 2$  such that*

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |(\gamma_\eta^{-1} \gamma \eta)'(\zeta)|^{r/2} \leq Br(1 - |\zeta|^2)^{-r/2}, \tag{4.3}$$

for  $\eta \in U$ ,  $\zeta \in U$  and  $r \geq r_0$ .

We will prove these lemmas after we have completed the main line of the argument.

The idea of the proof of the theorem is to construct a family of unit vectors  $\phi_\eta = \phi_\eta^{(r,v)} \in \mathcal{H}^r(\Gamma, v)$  such that

$$\sup_{\zeta \in D} |f(\eta) - (\phi_\eta^{(r,v)}, T_{\Gamma,v}^r(f)\phi_\eta^{(r,v)})| = 0, \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty. \tag{4.4}$$

Having done so, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\zeta \in D} |f(\eta)| &\leq |(\phi_\eta, T_{\Gamma,v}^r(f)\phi_\eta)| + \sup_{\zeta \in D} |f(\eta) - (\phi_\eta, T_{\Gamma,v}^r(f)\phi_\eta)| \\ &\leq \|T_{\Gamma,v}^r(f)\| + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

and the claim follows. The vectors  $\phi_\eta^{(r,v)}$  are defined as follows:

$$\phi_\eta^{(r,v)}(\eta) := K_{\Gamma,v}^r(\eta, \eta)^{-1/2} K_{\Gamma,v}^r(\zeta, \eta). \tag{4.5}$$

To prove (4.5) we write

$$\begin{aligned} f(\eta) - (\phi_\eta, T_{\Gamma,v}^r(f)\phi_\eta) &= \int_D |\phi_\eta(\zeta)|^2 (f(\eta) - f(\zeta)) \, d\mu^r(\zeta) \\ &= K_{\Gamma,v}^r(\eta, \eta)^{-1} \int_U K_{\Gamma,v}^r(\zeta, \eta)(f(\eta) - f(\zeta))K_{\Gamma,v}^r(\zeta, \eta) \, d\mu^r(\zeta), \end{aligned}$$

where, in the second equality we have used (2.9). Substituting  $\zeta \rightarrow \gamma_\eta(\zeta)$  we write this as

$$\begin{aligned} & K_{\Gamma, \nu}^r(\eta, \eta)^{-1} \int_U \overline{K_{\Gamma, \nu}^r(\gamma_\eta(\zeta), \eta)} (f(\gamma_\eta(\zeta)) \\ & \quad - f(\gamma_\eta(0))) K^r(\gamma_\eta(\zeta), \gamma_\eta(0)) |\gamma'_\eta(\zeta)|^r d\mu^r(\zeta) \\ & = (\gamma'_\eta(0))^{r/2} K_{\Gamma, \nu}^r(\eta, \eta)^{-1} \int_U \gamma'_\eta(\zeta)^{r/2} K_{\Gamma, \nu}^r(\gamma_\eta(\zeta), \eta) (f(\gamma_\eta(\zeta)) \\ & \quad - f(\gamma_\eta(0))) d\mu^r(\zeta). \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma'_\eta(\zeta)^{r/2} K_{\Gamma, \nu}^r(\gamma_\eta(\zeta), \eta) \\ & = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \frac{1}{v(\gamma)} \gamma'_\eta(\zeta)^{r/2} \gamma'(\gamma_\eta(\zeta))^{r/2} K^r(\gamma\gamma_\eta(\zeta), \eta) \\ & = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \frac{1}{v(\gamma)} (\gamma\gamma_\eta)'(\zeta)^{r/2} K^r(\gamma_\eta(\gamma_\eta^{-1}\gamma\gamma_\eta(\zeta)), \gamma_\eta(0)) \\ & = \gamma'_\eta(0)^{-r/2} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \frac{1}{v(\gamma)} (\gamma\gamma_\eta)'(\zeta)^{r/2} \gamma'_\eta(\gamma_\eta^{-1}\gamma\gamma_\eta(\zeta))^{-r/2} \\ & = \gamma'_\eta(0)^{-r/2} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \frac{1}{v(\gamma)} (\gamma_\eta^{-1}\gamma\gamma_\eta)'(\zeta)^{r/2}, \end{aligned}$$

and so (4.6) can be written as

$$F_\eta^{(r, \nu)}(0)^{-1} \int_U \overline{F_\eta^{(r, \nu)}(\zeta)} (f(\gamma_\eta(\zeta)) - f(\gamma_\eta(0))) d\mu^r(\zeta), \quad (4.7)$$

where

$$F_\eta^{(r, \nu)}(\zeta) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \frac{1}{v(\gamma)} (\gamma_\eta^{-1}\gamma\gamma_\eta)'(\zeta)^{r/2}. \quad (4.8)$$

To bound (4.7) we first observe that, as a consequence of Lemma 4.1,  $F_\eta^{(r, \nu)}(0) \leq \text{Const}$ , uniformly in  $\eta \in D$ , and  $r \geq r_0$ . Then we write the integral in (4.7) as a sum of two integrals: over  $|\zeta| \leq \delta$  and over  $\delta < |\zeta| < 1$ , where  $\delta$  will be chosen later. Since  $D$  is compact,

$$|\gamma_\eta(\zeta) - \gamma_\eta(\zeta')| \leq \frac{1+d}{1-d} |\zeta - \zeta'|, \quad (4.9)$$

where  $d = \max_{\eta \in D} |\eta| < 1$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{|\zeta| < \delta} \overline{F_\eta^{(r, \nu)}(\zeta)} (f(\gamma_\eta(\zeta)) - f(\gamma_\eta(0))) d\mu^r(\zeta) \right| \\ & \leq \left( 2 + \sup_{|\zeta| < \delta} \sup_{\eta \in D} \sum_{\Gamma \ni \gamma \neq +I} |(\gamma_\eta^{-1}\gamma\gamma_\eta)'(\zeta)^{r/2}| \right) \sup_{|\zeta - \eta| \leq \delta} |f(\zeta) - f(\eta)|, \end{aligned}$$



where  $\delta' := 2\delta(1+d)(1-d)^{-1}$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. We choose  $\delta$  as in Lemma 4.1. Since  $f$  is  $\Gamma$  invariant with  $D$  compact, it is uniformly continuous on  $U$ . Making  $\delta$  smaller, if necessary, we bound (4.10) by  $\varepsilon/2$ .

On the other hand, using Lemma 4.2,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\delta < |\zeta| < 1} \overline{F_n^{(r,\varepsilon)}(\zeta)} (f(\gamma_n(\zeta)) - f(\gamma_n(0))) \, d\mu^r(\zeta) \right| \\ & \leq 2B \|f\|_\infty \frac{r(r-1)}{\pi} \int_{\delta < |\zeta| < 1} (1-|\zeta|^2)^{r/2-2} \, d^2\zeta \\ & \leq \text{const. } r(1-\delta^2)^{r/2-1} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, for  $r$  large enough, the integral over  $\delta < |\zeta| < 1$  is less than  $\varepsilon/2$  and the theorem is proven.

*Proof of Lemma 4.1.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \int_U d\mu^\rho(\zeta) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \int_{\gamma(D)} d\mu^\rho(\zeta) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \int_D |(\gamma_n^{-1}\gamma\gamma_n)'(\zeta)|^\rho \, d\mu^\rho(\zeta) \geq \mu^\rho(D)(1-d)^{-\rho} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |(\gamma_n^{-1}\gamma\gamma_n)'(0)|^\rho, \quad (4.10) \end{aligned}$$

for  $\rho > 1$ , where we have denoted  $d := \max_{\gamma \in D} |\zeta|$ . Denoting  $r_0 = 2\rho$ , we thus find that the series

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |(\gamma_n^{-1}\gamma\gamma_n)'(0)|^{r_0/2}$$

is convergent. Therefore, there is  $\kappa(\eta) > 0$  such that  $|(\gamma_n^{-1}\gamma\gamma_n)'(0)| \leq (1 + \kappa(\eta))^{-1}$ , for  $\eta \neq \pm e$ . Since  $\eta$  ranges over a compact set  $K$ , we can choose  $\kappa(\eta)$  so that  $\kappa := \min_{\eta \in K} \kappa(\eta) > 0$ . In other words,

$$|(\gamma_n^{-1}\gamma\gamma_n)'(0)| \leq (1 + \kappa)^{-1}, \quad \gamma \neq e,$$

uniformly in  $\eta \in D$ . Hence, for  $r > r_0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\gamma \neq \pm I} |(\gamma_n^{-1}\gamma\gamma_n)'(\zeta)|^{r/2} \\ & \leq (1 - |\zeta|)^{-r/2} \sum_{\gamma \neq \pm I} |(\gamma_n^{-1}\gamma\gamma_n)'(0)|^{r/2} \\ & \leq (1 - |\zeta|)^{-r/2} (1 + \kappa)^{-(r-r_0)/2} \sum_{\gamma \neq \pm I} |(\gamma_n^{-1}\gamma\gamma_n)'(0)|^{r/2}. \end{aligned}$$

We now choose  $\delta$  so that  $(1 - \delta)(1 + \kappa) > 1$  and (4.2) follows with

$$A := (1 + \kappa)^{r_0/2} (1 - d)^{r_0/2} \mu_{r_0/2}(D)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad C := (1 - \delta)(1 + \kappa). \quad \square$$

*Proof of Lemma 4.2.* We first show that there are  $B > 0$  and  $r_0 > 2$  such that

$$\int_D |K^r(\zeta, \eta)|^2 d\mu^r(\zeta) \geq (Br)^{-1}, \quad (4.11)$$

uniformly in  $\eta \in U$  and  $r \geq r_0$ . Indeed,

$$|K^r(\zeta, \eta)| \geq (1 + |\zeta|)^{-r}, \quad (4.12)$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_D |K^r(\zeta, \eta)|^2 d\mu^r(\zeta) \\ & \geq \frac{r-1}{\pi} \int_D \frac{(1-|\zeta|^2)^r}{(1+|\zeta|)^{2r}} (1-|\zeta|^2)^{-2} d^2\zeta \\ & = \frac{r-1}{2\pi} \int_D \exp\{-r\delta(0, \zeta)\} d\mu_0(\zeta), \end{aligned}$$

where  $d\mu_0(\zeta) = 2(1-|\zeta|^2)^{-2} d^2\zeta$  is the Poincaré measure on  $U$ , and where

$$\delta(\zeta, \eta) := \log \frac{|1 - \zeta\bar{\eta}| + |\zeta - \eta|}{|1 - \zeta\bar{\eta}| - |\zeta - \eta|}$$

is the geodesic distance on  $U$  (see, e.g., [1]). Choose  $r_0$  so that  $D$  contains the disc  $B_{r_0} := \{\zeta : \delta(0, \zeta) \leq r_0^{-1}\}$ . Then, for all  $r \geq r_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_D \exp\{-r\delta(0, \zeta)\} d\mu_0(\zeta) \\ & \geq \int_{B_r} \exp\{-r\delta(0, \zeta)\} d\mu_0(\zeta) \\ & \geq e^{-1} \mu_0(B_r) = 4\pi e^{-1} \frac{(\operatorname{th}(2r)^{-1})^2}{1 - (\operatorname{th}(2r)^{-1})^2} \\ & \geq O(1)r^{-2}, \end{aligned}$$

and (4.11) follows.

To prove (4.3) we write

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= (1-|\zeta|^2)^r \int_U |K^r(\zeta, \theta)|^2 d\mu^r(\theta) \\ &= (1-|\zeta|^2)^r \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \int_{(G_\eta^{-1}\gamma\gamma_\eta)^{-1}(D)} |K^r(\zeta, \theta)|^2 d\mu^r(\theta) \\ &= (1-|\zeta|^2)^r \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |(G_\eta^{-1}\gamma\gamma_\eta)'(\zeta)|^r \int_D |K^r((G_\eta^{-1}\gamma\gamma_\eta)(\zeta), \theta)|^2 d\mu^r(\theta). \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.11), we obtain from the above identity that

$$1 \geq (Br)^{-1} (1-|\zeta|^2)^r \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |(G_\eta^{-1}\gamma\gamma_\eta)'(\zeta)|^r$$

and the claim follows if we replace  $r$  by  $r/2$ .  $\square$

**5. Proof of Theorem B**

The idea of the proof is to produce an asymptotic expansion of  $(\phi, T_{\Gamma,v}^r(f)T_{\Gamma,v}^r(g)\psi)$ ,  $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{H}^r(\Gamma, v)$ , as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . The first two orders in  $r^{-1}$  of this expansion will be computed explicitly while the remainder will be bounded nonperturbatively.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\phi, T_{\Gamma,v}^r(f)T_{\Gamma,v}^r(g)\psi) \\ &= \int_{D^2} \overline{\phi(\zeta)} K_{\Gamma,v}^r(\zeta, \eta) f(\zeta) g(\eta) \psi(\eta) \, d\mu^r(\zeta) \, d\mu^r(\eta) \\ &= \int_{D \times U} \overline{\phi(\zeta)} K^r(\zeta, \eta) f(\zeta) g(\eta) \psi(\eta) \, d\mu^r(\zeta) \, d\mu^r(\eta). \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

Substituting  $\eta = \gamma_\zeta(\theta)$ ,  $(\zeta = \gamma_\zeta(0)$ , see (4.1)) we can rewrite this as

$$\begin{aligned} & (\phi, T_{\Gamma,v}^r(f)T_{\Gamma,v}^r(g)\psi) = \\ &= \int_{D \times U} \overline{\phi(\zeta)} f(\zeta) g(\gamma_\zeta(\theta)) \psi(\gamma_\zeta(\theta)) (\gamma'_\zeta(\theta)/\gamma'_\zeta(0))^{r/2} \, d\mu^r(\zeta) \, d\mu^r(\theta). \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

From Taylor's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} g(\gamma_\zeta(\theta)) &= g(\zeta) + (1 - |\zeta|^2)[\partial g(\zeta)\theta + \bar{\partial} g(\zeta)\bar{\theta}] \\ &\quad + (1 - |\zeta|^2)[- \zeta \partial g(\zeta) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - |\zeta|^2) \partial^2 g(\zeta)]\theta^2 \\ &\quad \times (1 - |\zeta|^2)[- \bar{\zeta} \bar{\partial} g(\zeta) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - |\zeta|^2) \bar{\partial}^2 g(\zeta)]\bar{\theta}^2 \\ &\quad + (1 - |\zeta|^2)^2 \partial \bar{\partial} g(\zeta)\theta\bar{\theta} + G(\theta, \zeta), \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

where  $G(\theta, \zeta)$  is the remainder. In the formula above, we have extracted the second-order contributions, even though we are not interested in evaluating the second-order term in the expansion of (5.1). The reason is that our nonperturbative bound on the remainder in the asymptotic expansion would not give sufficient smallness in  $r^{-1}$ , had we kept these terms in the remainder.

**LEMMA 5.1.** *There is a constant  $C$  such that for  $r$  sufficiently large and for all  $f, g \in C^\infty(U)$  and  $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{H}^r(\Gamma, v)$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{D \times U} \overline{\phi(\zeta)} f(\zeta) G(\theta, \zeta) \psi(\gamma_\zeta(\theta)) (\gamma'_\zeta(\theta)/\gamma'_\zeta(0))^{r/2} \, d\mu_r(\zeta) \, d\mu_r(\theta) \right| \\ & \leq Cr^{-3/2} \|f\|_{4,\infty} \|g\|_{4,\infty} \|\phi\| \|\psi\|. \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

We will prove this lemma later. Substituting into (5.2) and using

$$\int_U \bar{\zeta}^n u(\zeta) \, d\mu_r(\zeta) = \frac{\Gamma(r)}{\Gamma(r+n)} \partial^n u(0) \tag{5.5}$$

(valid for  $u$  holomorphic in  $U$ ), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\phi, T_{\Gamma, \nu}^r(f)T_{\Gamma, \nu}^r(g)\psi) \\
&= \int_D \overline{\phi(\zeta)} f(\zeta) g(\zeta) \psi(\zeta) \, d\mu^r(\zeta) \\
&+ \frac{1}{r} \int_D \overline{\phi(\zeta)} f(\zeta) (1 - |\zeta|^2) \bar{\partial} g(\zeta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \{ \psi_\zeta(\theta) (\gamma'_\zeta(\theta) / \gamma'_\zeta(0))^{r/2} \} |_{\theta=0} \, d\mu^r(\zeta) \\
&+ \frac{1}{r} \int_D \overline{\phi(\zeta)} f(\zeta) (1 - |\zeta|^2)^2 \partial \bar{\partial} g(\zeta) \psi(\zeta) \, d\mu^r(\zeta) \\
&+ \frac{1}{r(r+1)} \int_D \overline{\phi(\zeta)} f(\zeta) (1 - |\zeta|^2) [ -\zeta \bar{\partial} g(\zeta) + \frac{1}{2} (1 - |\zeta|^2) \bar{\partial}^2 g(\zeta) ] \\
&\times \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \{ \psi_\zeta(\theta) (\gamma'_\zeta(\theta) / \gamma'_\zeta(0))^{r/2} \} |_{\theta=0} \, d\mu^r(\zeta) + R, \tag{5.6}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $R$  denotes the integral of Lemma 5.1. Observe that the first term on the right hand-side of (5.6) is  $(\phi, T_{\Gamma, \nu}^r(fg)\psi)$ , as required. Using the formula

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \{ \gamma'(\theta)^{r/2} \psi(\gamma_\zeta(\theta)) \} = \frac{1 - |\zeta|^2}{1 + \bar{\zeta}\theta} \gamma'_\zeta(\theta)^{-r/2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \{ \gamma'_\zeta(\theta)^r \psi(\gamma_\zeta(\theta)) \}, \tag{5.7}$$

we write the second and the third terms on the right-hand side of (5.6) as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{r} \int_D \overline{\phi(\zeta)} f(\zeta) \bar{\partial} g(\zeta) (1 - |\zeta|^2)^{-r+2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \{ (1 - |\zeta|^2)^r \psi(\zeta) \} \, d\mu^r(\zeta) \\
&+ \frac{1}{r} \int_D \overline{\phi(\zeta)} f(\zeta) (1 - |\zeta|^2)^2 \partial \bar{\partial} g(\zeta) \psi(\zeta) \, d\mu^r(\zeta). \tag{5.8}
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating the first term in (5.8) by parts (note that the boundary term vanishes, as the one-form  $(1 - |\zeta|^2)^r \overline{\phi(\zeta)} \psi(\zeta) f(\zeta) \bar{\partial} g(\zeta) \, d\bar{\zeta}$  is invariant under  $\Gamma$ ), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& -r^{-1} \int_D \overline{\phi(\zeta)} \psi(\zeta) (1 - |\zeta|^2)^2 \partial f(\zeta) \bar{\partial} g(\zeta) \psi(\zeta) \, d\mu^r(\zeta) \\
&= -\frac{1}{r} (\phi, T_{\Gamma, \nu}^r((1 - |\zeta|^2)^2 \partial f \bar{\partial} g)\psi),
\end{aligned}$$

as required.

We now show that the fourth term on the right-hand side of (5.6) can be bounded by  $O(1)r^{-2} \|f\|_p \|g\|_p \|\phi\| \|\psi\|$ . Indeed, applying (5.7) twice we replace  $\theta$ -derivatives by  $\zeta$ -derivatives. Integrating by parts we eliminate terms containing  $\partial \psi(\zeta)$  and  $\partial^2 \psi(\zeta)$  (this does not produce terms containing derivatives of  $\overline{\phi(\zeta)}$  as  $\phi(\zeta)$  is anti-holomorphic). The result can be written as

$$\frac{1}{r(r+1)} \int_D F(\zeta) \overline{\phi(\zeta)} \psi(\zeta) \, d\mu^r(\zeta)$$

with  $F(\zeta)$  continuous on  $D$ . This in turn can be bounded by

$$r^{-2} \max_{\zeta \in D} |F(\zeta)| \|\phi\| \|\psi\| \leq O(1) r^{-2} \|f\|_{4,\infty} \|g\|_{4,\infty} \|\phi\| \|\psi\|,$$

as claimed. It remains to prove Lemma 5.1.

*Proof of Lemma 5.1.* We write  $G(\theta, \zeta)$  as

$$G(\theta, \zeta) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq 3} g_j(\theta, \zeta) \theta^j \bar{\theta}^{3-j}, \quad (5.9)$$

where

$$g_j(\theta, \zeta) := \frac{1}{2} \binom{3}{j} \int_0^1 (1-s)^2 \partial^j \bar{\partial}^{3-j} \gamma_\zeta^* g(s\theta) ds. \quad (5.10)$$

Here, as usually,  $\gamma_\zeta^* g(\theta) = g(\gamma_\zeta(\theta))$ . We verify as in [4] that

$$(i) \quad |g_j(\theta, \zeta)| \leq O(1)(1-|\zeta|^2)(1-|\theta|)^{-9} \|g\|_{3,\infty}, \quad (5.11)$$

$$(ii) \quad \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} [(1-|\zeta|^2)^{-1} g_j(\theta, \zeta)] \right| + \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}} [(1-|\zeta|^2)^{-1} g_j(\theta, \zeta)] \right| \\ \leq O(1)(1-|\theta|)^{-12} \|g\|_{4,\infty}, \quad (5.12)$$

$$(iii) \quad \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} g_j(\theta, \zeta) \right| + \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\theta}} g_j(\theta, \zeta) \right| \leq O(1)(1-|\zeta|^2)(1-|\theta|)^{-12} \|g\|_{4,\infty}. \quad (5.13)$$

Let us now estimate the contribution of the term with  $j=0$  to (5.4). The remaining cases are similar and we refer to Section VI of [4] for more details. Using the formula

$$\bar{\theta}(1-|\theta|^2)^{r-2} = \frac{1}{r-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (1-|\theta|^2)^{r-1}, \quad (5.14)$$

and integrating by parts with respect to  $\theta$  we obtain (observe that for  $r$  sufficiently large, which is just what we assume, there are no boundary terms):

$$\int_{D \times U} \overline{\phi(\zeta)} f(\zeta) g_0(\theta, \zeta) \bar{\theta}^3 \psi(\gamma_\zeta(\theta)) (\gamma'_\zeta(\theta) / \gamma'_\zeta(0))^{r/2} d\mu^r(\theta) \\ = -\frac{1}{r-1} \int_{D \times U} \overline{\phi(\zeta)} f(\zeta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} g_0(\theta, \zeta) \bar{\theta}^2 \psi(\gamma_\zeta(\theta)) (\gamma'_\zeta(\theta) / \gamma'_\zeta(0))^{r/2} \\ \times (1-|\theta|^2) d\mu^r(\zeta) d\mu^r(\theta) \\ -\frac{1}{r-1} \int_{D \times U} \overline{\phi(\zeta)} f(\zeta) g_0(\theta, \zeta) \bar{\theta}^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \{ \psi(\gamma_\zeta(\theta)) (\gamma'_\zeta(\theta) / \gamma'_\zeta(0))^{r/2} \} \\ \times (1-|\theta|^2) d\mu^r(\zeta) d\mu^r(\theta). \quad (5.15)$$

The first term on the right hand side in (5.15) can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2^{11}(r-1)^{-1} \sup_{\zeta \in D} |f(\zeta)| \sup_{(\zeta, \theta) \in D \times U} \left| (1-|\zeta|^2)^{-1} (1-|\theta|^2)^{12} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} g(\theta, \zeta) \right| \\
& \quad \times \int_{D \times U} |\phi(\zeta)| (1-|\zeta|^2) |\psi(\gamma_\zeta(\theta))| |\gamma'_\zeta(\theta)/\gamma'_\zeta(0)|^{r/2} |\theta|^2 (1-|\theta|^2)^{-11} d\mu^r(\zeta) d\mu^r(\theta) \\
& \leq O(1)r^{-1} \|f\|_{4,\infty} \|g\|_{4,\infty} \left\{ \int_D (1-|\zeta|^2)^{2-r} d\mu^r(\zeta) \right\}^{1/2} \\
& \quad \times \left\{ \int_U |\theta|^4 (1-|\theta|^2)^{24} d\mu^r(\theta) \right\}^{1/2} \\
& \quad \times \left\{ \int_{D \times U} |\phi(\zeta)|^2 |\psi(\gamma_\zeta(\theta))|^2 |\gamma'_\zeta(\theta)|^r (1-|\theta|^2)^2 d\mu^r(\zeta) d\mu^r(\theta) \right\}^{1/2}. \tag{5.16}
\end{aligned}$$

But

$$\int_D (1-|\zeta|^2)^{2-r} d\mu^r(\zeta) \leq O(1)r, \tag{5.17}$$

$$\int_D |\theta|^4 (1-|\theta|^2)^{-24} d\mu^r(\theta) \leq O(1)r^{-2}. \tag{5.18}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{D \times U} |\phi(\zeta)|^2 |\psi(\gamma_\zeta(\theta))|^2 |\gamma'_\zeta(\theta)|^r (1-|\theta|^2)^2 d\mu^r(\zeta) d\mu^r(\theta) \\
& = \int_{D \times U} |\phi(\zeta)|^2 |\psi(\theta)|^2 (1-|\theta|^2)^2 |\gamma'_\zeta(\theta)|^{-2} d\mu^r(\zeta) d\mu^r(\theta) \\
& \leq 2 \max_{\zeta \in D} (1-|\zeta|^2)^{-2} \int_D |\phi(\zeta)|^2 d\mu^r(\zeta) \int_U (1-|\theta|^2)^2 |\psi(\theta)|^2 d\mu^r(\theta). \tag{5.13}
\end{aligned}$$

We claim that

$$\int_U (1-|\theta|^2)^2 |\psi(\theta)|^2 d\mu^r(\theta) \leq O(1) \int_D |\psi(\theta)|^2 d\mu^r(\theta). \tag{5.20}$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_U (1-|\theta|^2)^2 |\psi(\theta)|^2 d\mu^r(\theta) \\
& = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \int_{\gamma^{-1}(D)} (1-|\theta|^2)^2 |\psi(\theta)|^2 d\mu^r(\theta) \\
& = \int_D \left\{ \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (1-|\gamma(\theta)|^2)^2 \right\} |\psi(\theta)|^2 d\mu^r(\theta) \\
& \leq \sup_{\theta \in D} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (1-|\gamma(\theta)|^2)^2 \int_D |\psi(\theta)|^2 d\mu^r(\theta),
\end{aligned}$$

and the claim follows as [3]

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (1 - |\gamma(\theta)|^2)^2 \leq O(1)(1 - |\theta|^2)^{-2}, \quad \theta \in U. \tag{5.21}$$

As a consequence of (5.17–20), the right-hand side of (5.16) can be estimated by  $O(1)r^{-3/2}$ , which completes the analysis of the first term on the right-hand side of (5.15).

*Remark.* The above analysis is almost identical with the analogous analysis in Section VI of [4] except for one important point. The analog of the left hand side of (5.16) in [4] was

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^r(r-1) \sup_{\gamma \in D} |f(\zeta)| \sup_{(\zeta, \theta) \in U \times U} \left| (1 - |\zeta|^2)^{-1} (1 - |\theta|^2)^8 \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} g_0(\theta, \zeta) \right| \\ & \times \int_{U \times U} |\phi(\zeta)(1 - |\zeta|^2)| |\psi(\gamma_\zeta(\theta))| |\gamma'_\zeta(\theta)/\gamma'_\zeta(0)|^{r/2} |\theta|^2 (1 - |\theta|^2)^{-7} d\mu^r(\zeta) d\mu^r(\theta), \end{aligned}$$

which we could directly estimate by

$$\begin{aligned} & O(1)r^{-1} \|f\|_{4,\infty} \|g\|_{4,\infty} \\ & \times \left\{ \int_U (1 - |\zeta|^2)^{2-r} d\mu^r(\zeta) \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \int_U |\theta|^2 (1 - |\theta|^2)^{-14} d\mu^r(\theta) \right\}^{1/2} \\ & \times \left\{ \int_U |\phi(\zeta)|^2 d\mu^r(\zeta) \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \int_U |\psi(\theta)|^2 d\mu^r(\theta) \right\}^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

Here, we need to transfer a power of  $1 - |\theta|^2$  in order to be able to use (5.20). Less significantly, the powers of  $1 - |\theta|$  occurring in (5.11–13) and (consequently) in (5.16), are different than those of [4].

To estimate the second term in (5.15) we first use (5.7) and then integrate by parts with respect to  $\zeta$ . Applying the same technique as above we estimate the resulting expression by  $O(1)r^{-3/2} \|f\|_{4,\infty} \|g\|_{4,\infty} \|\phi\| \|\psi\|$ .

**References**

1. Beardon, A. F., *The Geometry of Discrete Groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1983.
2. Guillemin, V. and Sternberg, S., *Geometric Asymptotics*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1977.
3. Kra, I., *Automorphic Forms and Kleinian Groups*, Benjamin, Reading, Mass., 1972.
4. Klimek, S. and Lesniewski, A., Quantum Riemann surfaces, I. The unit disc, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, to appear.
5. Klimek, S. and Lesniewski, A., to appear.
6. Lehner, J., *Discontinuous Groups and Automorphic Forms*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1964.
7. Petersson, H., Über eine Metrisierung der Automorphen Formen und die Theorie der Poincareschen Reihen, *Math. Ann.* **117**, 453–537 (1940).
8. Petersson, H., Zur Analytischen Theorie der Grenzkreisgruppen III, *Math. Ann.* **115**, 518–572 (1938).
9. Sniatycki, J., *Geometric Quantization and Quantum Mechanics*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1980.
10. Woodhouse, N., *Geometric Quantization*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1980.