Andrew Lesniewski

Ellington Management Group 53 Forest Avenue Old Greenwich, CT 06870

First draft of March 12, 2004 This draft of November 6, 2009

1 Statement of the problem

We construct a family of Green's functions $G_X(\tau, x)$ for a forward following the CEV dynamics. Specifically, we consider the initial value problem:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} G_X(\tau, x) = \frac{1}{2} b(x)^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} G_X(\tau, x),$$

$$G_X(0, x) = \delta_X(x),$$
(1)

where $\delta_X(x) = \delta(x - X)$ denotes Dirac's delta supported at X. This is actually the terminal value problem for the backward Kolmogorov equation written in terms of the time variable $\tau = T - t$. The function b(x) has the form¹:

$$b(x) = \sigma x^{\beta}, \qquad \beta < 1.$$

We impose the natural boundary condition:

$$G_X(\tau, x) \to 0, \quad \text{as } x \to \infty.$$
 (3)

In addition, at x = 0, we impose the following family of boundary conditions (the Robin problem [2]):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} G_X(\tau, x) + \mu G_X(\tau, x) \Big|_{x=0} = 0.$$
(4)

This reduces to the reflecting (Neumann) problem for $\mu = 0$, and the absorbing (Dirichlet) problem for $\mu \to \infty$. It is these two boundary value problems that we consider in this manuscript.

Taking the Laplace transform of $G_X(\tau, x)$,

$$G_X(\tau, x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda \tau} g(\lambda, x) \, d\lambda, \tag{5}$$

¹Peter Carr informed me that the restriction $\beta \ge 0$ is not necessary

we find that

$$\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 x^{2\beta}g'' + \lambda g = 0.$$
(6)

Simple algebra shows that g can be expressed as

$$g(\lambda, x) = \sqrt{x} u\left(\frac{2\nu\sqrt{2\lambda}}{\sigma} x^{\frac{1}{2\nu}}\right),\tag{7}$$

where

$$\nu = \frac{1}{2(1-\beta)}, \quad \text{i.e. } \nu > 0,$$
(8)

and where u(z) satisfies Bessel's equation [4]:

$$u'' + \frac{1}{z}u' + \left(1 - \frac{\nu^2}{z^2}\right)u = 0.$$
(9)

Now (see e.g. [5]), any solution to Bessel's equation is a linear combination of Bessel's functions $J_{\nu}(z)$ and $Y_{\nu}(z)$ of the first and second kind, respectively:

$$u(z) = A_{\nu}J_{\nu}(z) + B_{\nu}Y_{\nu}(z).$$
(10)

Recall (we refer to [4] for details) that $J_{\nu}(z)$ is defined by the series

$$J_{\nu}(z) = \sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{(-1)^k}{k! \,\Gamma\left(\nu + k + 1\right)} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2k+\nu} \,, \tag{11}$$

and

$$Y_{\nu}(z) = \frac{J_{\nu}(z)\cos(\nu\pi) - J_{-\nu}(z)}{\sin(\nu\pi)} .$$
 (12)

We shall determine the constants A_{ν} and B_{ν} so that all the conditions imposed on the solution are satisfied. Using (11) and (12) as well as the well known properties of the gamma function we observe that, as $x \to 0$,

$$g(\lambda, x) \sim \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} \left(\frac{\nu\sqrt{2\lambda}}{\sigma}\right)^{\nu} \left(A_{\nu}(\lambda) + \cot(\nu\pi) B_{\nu}(\lambda)\right) x$$

$$- B_{\nu}(\lambda) \frac{\Gamma(\nu)}{\pi} \left(\frac{\sigma}{\nu\sqrt{2\lambda}}\right)^{\nu} \left(1 + \frac{2\nu\lambda}{\sigma^{2}} x^{\frac{1}{\nu}}\right).$$
(13)

2 Dirichlet boundary condition

Let us start with the Dirichlet problem. Since from (13),

$$g(\lambda,0) = -B_{\nu}(\lambda) \frac{\Gamma(\nu)}{\pi} \left(\frac{\sigma}{\nu\sqrt{2\lambda}}\right)^{\nu}, \qquad (14)$$

we infer that the Dirichlet problem has a solution for all values of ν . Furthermore, we have $B_{\nu}(\lambda) = 0$, and thus

$$g(\lambda, x) = A_{\nu}(\lambda) \sqrt{x} J_{\nu} \left(\frac{2\nu\sqrt{2\lambda}}{\sigma} x^{\frac{1}{2\nu}}\right), \qquad (15)$$

and we need to determine $A_{\nu}(\lambda)$.

In order to do this recall first that the Hankel transform (see e.g. [1]) $\mathcal{H}_{\nu}f$ of a function f is given by

$$\left(\mathcal{H}_{\nu}f\right)(p) = \int_{0}^{\infty} f\left(x\right) J_{\nu}\left(px\right) x \, dx.$$
(16)

Its key property is that

$$f(x) = \int_0^\infty \left(\mathcal{H}_\nu f\right)(p) J_\nu(px) p \, dp. \tag{17}$$

In particular, note that

$$\left(\mathcal{H}_{\nu}\delta_{X}\right)\left(p\right) = XJ_{\nu}\left(pX\right).$$
(18)

As a consequence, we get the following expression for $A_{\nu}(\lambda)$:

$$A_{\nu}\left(\lambda\right) = \frac{2\nu}{\sigma^2} X^{\frac{1}{\nu} - \frac{3}{2}} J_{\nu}\left(\frac{2\nu\sqrt{2\lambda}}{\sigma} X^{\frac{1}{2\nu}}\right). \tag{19}$$

Using the identity [4]:

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\tau p^{2}} J_{\nu}(ap) J_{\nu}(bp) p \, dp = \frac{1}{2\tau} \exp\left(-\frac{a^{2}+b^{2}}{4\tau}\right) I_{\nu}\left(\frac{ab}{2\tau}\right), \qquad (20)$$

we finally obtain the following explicit representation for the Dirichlet Green's function $G_X^D(\tau, x)$:

$$G_X^D(\tau, x) = \frac{(xX^{1-4\beta})^{1/2}}{(1-\beta)\sigma^2\tau} \times \exp\left(-\frac{x^{2(1-\beta)} + X^{2(1-\beta)}}{2(1-\beta)^2\sigma^2\tau}\right) I_\nu\left(\frac{(xX)^{1-\beta}}{(1-\beta)^2\sigma^2\tau}\right).$$
(21)

Recall that the non-central χ^2 distribution with r degrees of freedom and the non-centrality parameter λ is given by the following probability density distribution:

$$p(x;r,\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)^{(r-2)/4} \exp\left(-\frac{x+\lambda}{2}\right) I_{(r-2)/2}\left(\sqrt{\lambda x}\right),$$
(22)

and thus the Dirichlet Green's function can be written as

$$G_X^D(\tau, x) = \frac{4\nu x X^{1/\nu - 2}}{\sigma^2 \tau} p\left(\frac{4\nu^2 X^{1/\nu}}{\sigma^2 \tau}; \ 2\nu + 2, \ \frac{4\nu^2 x^{1/\nu}}{\sigma^2 \tau}\right).$$
(23)

Note that the total mass of $G_X^D(\tau, x)$ is indeed less than one, meaning that there is a nonzero probability of absorption at zero. Using the series expansion [4]:

$$I_{\nu}(z) = \sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{1}{k! \Gamma(\nu + k + 1)} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2k + \nu} , \qquad (24)$$

we readily find that

$$\int_0^\infty G_X^D(\tau, x) \, dX = 1 - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \, \Gamma\left(\nu, \, \frac{2\nu^2 x^{1/\nu}}{\sigma^2 \tau}\right),\tag{25}$$

where

$$\Gamma\left(\nu, x\right) = \int_{x}^{\infty} t^{\nu-1} e^{-t} dt$$
(26)

is the complementary incomplete gamma function [5]. The quantity

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \Gamma\left(\nu, \frac{2\nu^2 x^{1/\nu}}{\sigma^2 \tau}\right)$$
(27)

is the probability of absorption at zero. For example, in the square root process case,

i.e. $\nu = 1$, that probability equals $\exp\left(-\frac{2x}{\sigma^2 \tau}\right)$. We shall now follow [3] in order to express the option pricing function in terms of the cumulative noncentral χ^2 distribution function:

$$\chi^{2}(x;r,\lambda) = \int_{0}^{x} p(y;r,\lambda) \, dy.$$
(28)

The pricing function of a call struck at c is given by the integral

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \max(X - c, 0) \ G_X^D(\tau, x) \ dX$$

$$= \int_{c}^{\infty} X G_X^D(\tau, x) \ dX - c \int_{c}^{\infty} G_X^D(\tau, x) \ dX.$$
(29)

The first term on the right hand side of (29) is easy to calculate: after substituting

$$z = \frac{4\nu^2 X^{1/\nu}}{\sigma^2 \tau} \tag{30}$$

we find that it evaluates to

$$x\left(1-\chi^{2}\left(\frac{4\nu^{2}c^{1/\nu}}{\sigma^{2}\tau};\ 2\nu+2,\frac{4\nu^{2}x^{1/\nu}}{\sigma^{2}\tau}\right)\right).$$
(31)

In order to calculate the second term on the right hand side of (29), we shall first establish the following symmetry property of the noncentral χ^2 distribution:

$$\int_{x}^{\infty} p(y; r-2, \lambda) \, dy + \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} p(x; r, \mu) \, d\mu = 1.$$
(32)

Indeed, consider the function:

$$\varphi\left(\lambda\right) = \int_{x}^{\infty} p\left(y; r-2, \lambda\right) dy + \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} p\left(x; r, \mu\right) d\mu.$$

From (24),

$$\varphi(\lambda) \to 1$$
, as $\lambda \to 0$.

On the other hand, we verify readily that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} p(x; r, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \left(-p(x; r, \lambda) + p(x; r-2, \lambda) \right),$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} p(x; r, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \left(-p(x; r, \lambda) + p(x; r+2, \lambda) \right),$$

and thus for all positive λ ,

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \varphi(\lambda) = \int_x^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} p(y; r-2, \lambda) \, dy - p(x; r, \lambda)$$
$$= -\int_x^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial y} p(y; r, \lambda) \, dy - p(x; r, \lambda)$$
$$= 0.$$

Consequently, $\varphi(\lambda) = 1$, as claimed.

Now, introducing the notation

$$\begin{split} \lambda &= \frac{4\nu^2 x^{1/\nu}}{\sigma^2 \tau} \ , \\ q &= \frac{4\nu^2 c^{1/\nu}}{\sigma^2 \tau} \ , \end{split}$$

and using (32), we find that

$$\begin{split} \int_{c}^{\infty} G_{X}^{D}\left(\tau,x\right) \, dX &= \int_{q}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\lambda}{z}\right)^{\nu} p\left(z;2\nu+2,\lambda\right) dz \\ &= \int_{q}^{\infty} p\left(\lambda;2\nu+2,z\right) dz \\ &= 1 - \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} p\left(z;2\nu,q\right) dz \\ &= \int_{0}^{\lambda} p\left(z;2\nu,q\right) dz. \end{split}$$

Putting everything together we obtain the following explicit representation for the pricing function of a call struck at *c*:

$$\mathcal{V}_{call}^{D}(\tau, x) = x \left(1 - \chi^{2} \left(\frac{4\nu^{2}c^{1/\nu}}{\sigma^{2}\tau}; 2\nu + 2, \frac{4\nu^{2}x^{1/\nu}}{\sigma^{2}\tau} \right) \right) - c\chi^{2} \left(\frac{4\nu^{2}x^{1/\nu}}{\sigma^{2}\tau}; 2\nu, \frac{4\nu^{2}c^{1/\nu}}{\sigma^{2}\tau} \right).$$
(33)

From the put call parity, the pricing function of a put struck at c is:

$$\mathcal{V}_{\text{put}}^{D}(\tau, x) = x\chi^{2} \left(\frac{4\nu^{2}c^{1/\nu}}{\sigma^{2}\tau}; \ 2\nu + 2, \frac{4\nu^{2}x^{1/\nu}}{\sigma^{2}\tau} \right) - c \left(1 - \chi^{2} \left(\frac{4\nu^{2}x^{1/\nu}}{\sigma^{2}\tau}; \ 2\nu, \frac{4\nu^{2}c^{1/\nu}}{\sigma^{2}\tau} \right) \right) .$$
(34)

3 Neumann boundary condition

Let us now turn to the Neumann problem. Since, as $x \to 0$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} g(\lambda, x) \sim \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} \left(\frac{\nu \sqrt{2\lambda}}{\sigma} \right)^{\nu} \left(A_{\nu}(\lambda) + \cot(\nu\pi) B_{\nu}(\lambda) \right) - B_{\nu}(\lambda) \frac{2\lambda\Gamma(\nu)}{\sigma^{2}\pi} \left(\frac{\sigma}{\nu\sqrt{2\lambda}} \right)^{\nu} x^{\frac{1}{\nu}-1},$$
(35)

we conclude that the Neumann problem has a solution if $1/\nu - 1 \ge 0$. Equivalently, the Neumann problem has a solution if

$$\beta \ge \frac{1}{2} . \tag{36}$$

Assume first that $\nu < 1$. The coefficients A_{ν} and B_{ν} must then obey the relation:

$$A_{\nu}(\lambda) = -B_{\nu}(\lambda)\cot(\nu\pi), \qquad (37)$$

and thus, as a consequence of (12)

$$u(z) = B_{\nu} (-\cot(\nu\pi) J_{\nu}(z) + Y_{\nu}(z))$$

= $-B_{\nu} \frac{1}{\sin(\nu\pi)} J_{-\nu}(z).$ (38)

This implies that $g(\lambda, x)$ is given by

$$g(\lambda, x) = -B_{\nu}(\lambda) \frac{1}{\sin(\nu\pi)} \sqrt{x} J_{-\nu}\left(\frac{2\nu\sqrt{2\lambda}}{\sigma} x^{\frac{1}{2\nu}}\right).$$
(39)

The computation follows now the same outline as in the Dirichlet case. Using the technique of Hankel transforms, we find that the Neumann Green's function $G_X^N(\tau, x)$ is given by

$$G_X^N(\tau, x) = \frac{(xX^{1-4\beta})^{1/2}}{(1-\beta)\sigma^2\tau} \times \exp\left(-\frac{x^{2(1-\beta)} + X^{2(1-\beta)}}{2(1-\beta)^2\sigma^2\tau}\right) I_{-\nu}\left(\frac{(xX)^{1-\beta}}{(1-\beta)^2\sigma^2\tau}\right)$$
(40)

or, equivalently,

$$G_X^N(\tau, x) = \frac{4\nu X^{1/\nu - 1}}{\sigma^2 \tau} p\left(\frac{4\nu^2 X^{1/\nu}}{\sigma^2 \tau}; -2\nu + 2, \frac{4\nu^2 x^{1/\nu}}{\sigma^2 \tau}\right).$$
(41)

This is a *bona fide* probability distribution of X; a straightforward calculation shows that

$$\int_0^\infty G_X^N\left(\tau, x\right) \, dX = 1. \tag{42}$$

Finally, the pricing functions with Neumann boundary conditions at zero are given by

$$\mathcal{V}_{\text{call}}^{N}(\tau, x) = x \chi^{2} \left(\frac{4\nu^{2} c^{1/\nu}}{\sigma^{2} \tau}; -2\nu, \frac{4\nu^{2} x^{1/\nu}}{\sigma^{2} \tau} \right) - c \left(1 - \chi^{2} \left(\frac{4\nu^{2} x^{1/\nu}}{\sigma^{2} \tau}; -2\nu + 2, \frac{4\nu^{2} c^{1/\nu}}{\sigma^{2} \tau} \right) \right) ,$$
(43)

and

$$\mathcal{V}_{\text{put}}^{N}(\tau, x) = x \left(1 - \chi^{2} \left(\frac{4\nu^{2}c^{1/\nu}}{\sigma^{2}\tau}; -2\nu, \frac{4\nu^{2}x^{1/\nu}}{\sigma^{2}\tau} \right) \right) - c\chi^{2} \left(\frac{4\nu^{2}x^{1/\nu}}{\sigma^{2}\tau}; -2\nu + 2, \frac{4\nu^{2}c^{1/\nu}}{\sigma^{2}\tau} \right) .$$
(44)

References

- [1] Davies, B.: Integral Transforms and Their Applications, Springer Verlag (2002).
- [2] Guenther, R. B., and Fee, J. F.: *Partial Differential Equations of Mathematical Physics and Integral Equations*, Dover Publications (1996).
- [3] Schroder, M.: Computing the constant elasticity of variance option pricing formula, *J. Finance*, **44**, 211 - 219 (1989).
- [4] Watson, G. N.: A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions, Cambridge University Press (1944).
- [5] Whittaker, E. T., and Watson, G. N.: A Course of Modern Analysis, Cambridge University Press (1927).